UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,937	07/24/2003	Michael Lebner	0156-2004US01	9900
Kevin M. Farre	7590 09/12/2007		EXAM	INER
Pierce Atwood Suite 350 One New Hampshire Avenue			EREZO, DARWIN P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
_	Portsmouth, NH 03801			
			٠	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notice of Non-Compliant	10/625,937	LEBNER, MICHAEL				
Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Darwin P. Erezo	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
The amendment document filed on <u>18 June 2007</u> is correquirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the ai item(s) is required.						
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include B. New paragraph(s) should not be under C. Other	e markings.	BE NON-COMPLIANT:				
 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 3 B. Other 	7 CFR 1.72.	•				
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other 						
 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. E. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 						
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or r	not signed in accordance with 37 C	FR 1.4):				
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.						
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:						
 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-co- filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted 	it the non-compliant after-final ame					
2. Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, we correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of (including a submission for a request for continued amendment filed within a suspension period under a Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1, to 4, are che non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 Compliance.	of the following: a preliminary amer examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amecked, the correction required is or	ndment, a non-final amendment 1.114), a supplemental endment filed in response to a				
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR amendment or an amendment filed in response t	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	amendment is a non-final				
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result to the Abandonment of the application if the non-confiled in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-comp	ompliant amendment is a non-final					

amendment.

2.

Telephone No.

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The claims provided in the amendment do not match the claims that have been amended in the Examiner's Amendment mailed on 9/20/06. It is noted that the case was prevoiusly allowed with the version of the claims that are present in the Examiner's Amendment. The filing of the RCE with the IDS submission does not revert the claims back to the claims prior to the mailing of the Examiner's Amendment. Therefore, the amendment is not compliant and correction is required.

Darwin Erezo Examiner